## **Reaction of Tungstic Acid–Hydrogen Peroxide with** *endo*-Dicyclopentadiene: An Unusual Observation<sup>†</sup>

Pradeep T. Deota,\*<sup>a</sup> Rakesh Desai<sup>b</sup> and Vaibhav Valodkar<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>National Institute of Materials and Chemical Research, 1-1, Higashi, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8565, Japan <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, M.S. University of Baroda, Vadodara 390 002, India

The reaction of *endo*-dicyclopentadiene **1** with tungstic acid–hydrogen peroxide in 2-methylpropan-2-ol to form the polycyclic compounds **2** and **3** is reported, and a plausible mechanism for the formation of these products is discussed.

Either racemic or enantiomerically pure 1,2-diols are important structural units or synthetic building blocks for various biologically active and synthetic compounds in organic chemistry.<sup>1,2</sup> It is not surprising therefore that a number of methods have been developed for their preparations.<sup>3–7</sup>



The electrophilic nature of the tungstic acid-catalysed *trans*-additions of hydrogen peroxide to olefins has long been known<sup>8</sup> but it has not been exploited much. We have previously demonstrated the use of tungstic acid-hydrogen peroxide in 2-methylpropan-2-ol for the vicinal hydroxylation of *Z*,*Z*-cycloocta-1,5-diene to obtain the corresponding *trans*-diol in 65% yield, eqn. (1).<sup>9</sup> it should be noted that *Z*,*Z*-cycloocta-1,5-diene poses considerable problems in hydroxylation with other hydroxylating agents,<sup>9</sup> owing to its propensity towards transannular cyclization.<sup>10</sup> In this connection, we now report that treatment of tungstic acid-hydrogen peroxide with *endo*-dicyclopentadiene **1** (the dimer of cyclopenta-1,3-diene, IUPAC name *endo*-tricyclo[5.2.1.0<sup>5.9</sup>]deca-2,6-diene) in 2-methylpropan-2-ol at



\*To receive any correspondence (*e-mail:* deotapt@hotmail.com). †This is a **Short Paper** as defined in the Instructions for Authors, Section 5.0 [see *J. Chem. Research (S)*, 1998, Issue 1]; there is therefore no corresponding material in *J. Chem. Research (M)*. 40 °C for 8 h resulted in the formation of the two polycyclic compounds 2 and 3, and no product corresponding to the expected diols **4a,b** was isolated (Scheme 1).

A plausible mechanism for the formation of these products is outlined in Scheme 2. The more strained double bond of the two in compound **1** is attacked first<sup>11</sup> to form the protonated epoxide **5** which in turn suffers a further attack through  $\sigma$  participation to generate initially the diol **7** *via* **6**.<sup>12</sup> This diol then undergoes protonation followed by dehydration due to the close proximity of the two hydroxyl functions to furnish the oxetane **2**. The oxetane **2** on further treatment with pertungstic acid undergoes epoxidation at the remaining double bond position to form **3**.



## Experimental

IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 FTIR spectrophotometer, NMR spectra on a JEOL GX 270 spectrometer and mass spectra using a VG 70-250 S double focusing magnetic sector mass spectrometer at a nominal resolution of 5000. Microanalyses were performed on a Coleman instrument. Column chromatography was carried out using Acme's silica gel (60–120 mesh) and spots were visualized in iodine vapor.

Reaction of Endo-dicylopentadiene 1 with Tungstic acid–Hydrogen peroxide.—A mixture of tungstic acid (0.2 g) and hydrogen peroxide (30%, 10.0 ml, 3.0 g, 0.09 mol) was added to a solution of *endo*-dicyclopentadiene 1 (5.0 ml, 4.9 g, 0.037 mol) in 2-methylpropan-2-ol 912 ml) at 40 °C under stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h and further tungstic acid (0.1 g) was added. The reaction mixture was again stirred for 5 h and then filtered through a Celite pad to remove the suspended catalyst followed by removal of the solvent under reduced pressure (Negative peroxide test). The residue

J. Chem. Research (S), 1998, 562–563<sup>†</sup>

was then diluted with water (25 ml) and extracted with ethyl acetate  $(4 \times 25 \text{ ml})$ . The combined organic extracts were washed with water (20 ml) and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. Removal of the solvent followed by column chromatography of the residue over silica gel and elution (light petroleum-ethyl acetate, 95:5) gave 2 as a crystalline solid having a mentholic odor (1.1 g, 20.4%), mp 62-63 °C (Found: C, 80.56, H, 8.2. C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O requires C, 81.0; H, 8.1%);  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (MeOH) 210 nm;  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  (neat) 2900, 1340, 1250, 1020, 900 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_{\text{H}}$  (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 6.0 (m, 2 H, olefinic protons), 3.05, 3.10 (two m, 1 H each, H-O-CH), 2.80 (m, 1 H, allylic ringjunction proton), 2.70 (m, 2 H, allylic CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.42 (br m, 1 H, ringjunction proton), 1.77 (br m, 1 H, bridgehead proton), 1.40 (m, 1 H, bridgehead proton), 1.22 (br m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>).  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 134.77, 134.56 (sp<sup>2</sup> carbons), 61.56, 60.46 (C–O–C), 51.87, 50.90, 46.33, 33.54, 43.86 and 30.99 (CH<sub>2</sub> and CH=). m/z 148.0886 (M<sup>+</sup>). Further elution (light petroleum-ethyl acetate, 90:10) gave the epoxide 3 as a colorless solid (2.0 g, 34%), mp 169-170 °C (Found: C, 73.60; H, 7.77.  $C_{10}H_{12}O_2$  requires C, 73.17, H, 7.32%);  $\nu_{max}$  (neat) 2963, 1457, 1390, 1022, 970, 832 cm<sup>-1</sup>  $\delta_H$  (270 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 3.46 (dd, 1 H, J 8), 3.33 (d, 1 H, J 9), 3.19 (d, 1 H, J 12), 3.15 (d, 1 H, J 13), 2.6 (m, 1 H), 2.52 (br m, 1 H), 2.35 (br m, merged 2 H), 1.81 (br m, 2 H), 1.35 (dd, 1 H, J 7 Hz), 0.77 (br d, 1 H). δ<sub>C</sub> (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 61.63 and 58.58 (two COH), 48.88, 48.66 (epoxide carbons), 48.28, 44.55, 39.87 and 39.11 (CH=, 29.58 and 26.95 (CH<sub>2</sub>) m/z 164.08373 (M<sup>+</sup>).

Received, 10th February 1998; Accepted, 13th May 1998 Paper E/8/01178H

## References

- T. E. Bellas, R. G. Brownlee and R. M. Silverstein, *Tetrahedron*, 1969, **25**, 5149; E. E. vanTamelen, M. Shamma, A. W. Burgstahler, J. Wolinsky, R. Tamm and P. E. Aldrich, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 7315.
- E. J. Corey, H. Shirahama, H. Yamamoto, S. Terashima, A. Venkateswarlu and T. K. Schaaf, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 1490; A. W. Burgstahler and Z. H. Bithos, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 5466.
- 3 H. C. Kolb, M. S. VanNieuwenhze and K. B. Sharpless, *Chem. Rev.*, 1994, **94**, 2483; M. Schroder, *Chem. Rev.*, 1980, **80**, 187.
- 4 D. Pini, A. Petri and P. Salvadori, Tetrahedron, 1994, 50, 11321.
- 5 A. Gansäuer, Chem. Commun., 1997, 5, 457.
- 6 R. V. A. Orru, W. Kroutil and K. Faber, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 1753.
- 7 P. Yates, E. G. Lewars and P. H. Mckabe, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1972, **50**, 1548.
- 8 A. H. Hains, Methods for oxidation of organic compounds, Academic Press, New York, 1985; K. A. Saegebarth, J. Org. Chem., 1959, 24, 1212; G. B. Pyne and C. M. Smith, J. Org. Chem., 1957, 22, 1682; M. Mudgan and D. P. Young, J. Chem. Soc., 1949, 2988.
- 9 V. K. Singh and P. T. Deota, Synth. Commun., 1988, 18, 617.
- 10 L. A. Paquette, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 1979, **59**, 43; J. G. Trayham and P. M. Greene, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1964, **86**, 2657.
- 11 D. Brewsters, M. Myers, J. Ormerod, P. Otter, A. C. B. Smith, M. E. Spinner and S. Turner, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1973, 2796.
- 12 H. Kwart and W. G. Vosburgh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1954, 76, 5400.